

RUSA Committee Meeting

Date: 24/8/18

Time: 12 pm

Place: Prasad's Chamber

Signature of the members present: —

1. ~~John~~
2. John Ram
3. Kanak Ch. Saikoo
4. Ayan
5. A. Serali
- 6.
- 7.
- 8.

A meeting of the RUSA committee is held on 24th August, 2018, at 12 pm at Prasad's chamber with a view to attaining the work 'Renovation of Academic Block' at Dr. B.K.B. College, Puzhigudem, Nagan Assam to one of the five vendors/bidders bidding for the same, namely, Md. S. A. Dullah, Nirupama Enterprise, Rana Gahin, Nabarun Chatterjee and Mr. Mukul Sarkis. The committee makes a close scrutiny of the Technical and Financial bids submitted by the bidders for the said work, prepared by Md. Mishaj Ali, Add. Executive Engineer and technical person of the RUSA committee, Dr. B.K.B. College, Puzhigudem. The resolutions taken are as follows —

1. Resolved that Md. S. A. Dullah, one of the five bidders bidding for the work 'Renovation of Academic Block', is not to be selected as he technically disqualifies on the ground that the validity of his Registration as a contractor, according to the papers submitted, expired on 31st March/2018. Hence his claim for the said work stands cancelled.

2. It is also resolved that the claim of the firm Nirupama Enterprise is rejected on the

ground that the rate offered by the firm, i.e., 6.4% below of the estimated rate of the work under 2013-14 schedule (APWD) is considered non-workable by Mr. Shikoj Ali in the Comparative Statement of the Financial Bids on the strength of Government Notification No. RBDC/2017/2 dated the 12th July/2018, Durgam, issued by Government of Assam.

3. Out of the remaining three bidders, technically and financially qualified, the claim of Mr. Rana Gehain for the said work is rejected as his offered rate 2% below of the estimated rate of stands the highest of the three.

4. Finally the committee resolves that the said work must be allotted, as there is no way left, either to Mr. Mukul Sarkis or to Mr. Nabam Bhattacharya, both are technically and financially qualified and having equal claim for the said work. Recognising that the volume of works, especially construction of renovation, done by Mr. Mukul Sarkis is greater than that of done by Mr. Nabam Bhattacharya, and at the same time appreciating similar type of works done by both the bidders at Dr. B.K.B. College earlier, now the committee after a series of discussion, finally allots the work 'Renovation of Academic Block' to Mr. Nabam Bhattacharya for the reasons cited below —

(a) Mr. Nabam Bhattacharya is selected for the said work ahead of Mr. Mukul Sarkis on the ground that the ongoing project 'Renovation of Laboratory' of Rs. 5.00 lacs allotted to him on 4th July/2018 is almost completed, while the ongoing project 'New Construction of Cafeteria' of Rs. 10 lacs allotted to Mr. Mukul Sarkis on 4th

July/2018 is still going on and far away from completion. As instructed by RUSA Authority to utilize the amount received as early as possible, the committee selects Mr. Nabaram Chatterchaya regarding the claim of Mr. Mukul Siskia.

(b) Mr. Nabaram Chatterchaya is preferred to Mr. Mukul Siskia on the ground that a few of the members of RUSA committee feel that Mr. Mukul Siskia has already done at 'Dr. B. K. B. College' works of more than Rs. 15 crore and other, if found qualified, should also be preferred.

(c) It is also found that according to the estimate of the said work there are lots of works related to fitting and fixing of Aluminium partitions. As Mr. Nabaram Chatterchaya deals in Aluminium partitions and related works (known from earlier works), the committee selects him on that ground with a hope that his selections would provide better quality and save time in this regard.

(d) The committee allot the work 'Renovation of Academic Block' to Mr. Nabaram Chatterchaya on the ground that the said project 'Renovation of Academic Block' is associated with the ongoing project 'Renovation of Laboratory' allotted to the same bidder earlier and now is nearing completion. The renovated laboratory falls within the periphery of the Academic Block to be renovated, and hence the committee thinks that both the projects, though different in estimate, are complementary to each other and selection of the same bidder will certainly help to read the Academic Block & renovation of laboratories in details and bring uniformity and provide better quality within a short time.