

# RUSA Committee Meeting

Date :- 04/07/2018

Time: 11 am

Place: Principals Chamber

Signatures of the Members Present:-

- 1.
2. ~~Apurba~~
3. ~~Inta Shama~~
4. ~~Navy Ch. Somu~~
5. ~~Ch. S.~~
6. ~~Alyan~~
7. ~~Sham~~
- 8.

A meeting of the RUSA Committee is held on 4<sup>th</sup> July, 2018 at Principals Chamber at 11 am with the members present. The Comparative Statements prepared by appointed Engineer Md. Minhaj Ali for the Group No-1 (Renovation of Library Block), Group No. 2 (Renovation of Laboratory) and Group No. 3 (Construction of Cafeteria) against NIT No- BKBC/RUSA/230/15118; dttd 07/06/18 are thoroughly analysed and the resolutions taken are as follows:-

① The RUSA committee, D.B.K.B. College considers that in the year 2018 any rate beyond 10% below of the APND scheduled rate of 2013-14 is unworkable in true sense and the work may suffer if considered. So the committee finally decides not to allot any work under its purview to any contractor who offers at present more than 10% below of the APND scheduled rate of 2013-14.

② As far as the Group No. 1 (Renovation of Library Block) is concerned, the committee depending on the comments made by the Engineer in the comparative statement, finally rejects on the technical ground the bid of Md. Abdu Jabbar for his Registration Certificate is no more valid since 31/03/2017 and the bid of Apurba Goswami is rejected for not submitting the work order of the similar nature of work done. The committee also

rejects the bid of - S.A. Dowllah for not having similar nature of work done. The bids of International Infrastructure and Md. Altaf Uddin Ahmed are not considered for quoting unworkable rate as per CS. Finally, the committee, out of the remaining three bids, allots the said work 'Renovation of Library Block' to Mr. Rana Gehan, a local contractor with satisfactory work experience done in the college itself earlier, who now offers to complete the work 'Renovation of Library Block' at a 10% below of the estimated rate of the said work.

③ As far as the Group No. 2 (Renovation of Laboratory) is concerned, the committee, depending on the comments made by the Engineer in the Comparative Statement, rejects on technical ground the bid of - Md. Abdul Jabbar for his registration certificate is no more valid since 31/07/2017 and rejects the bid of - Mr. Apurba Goswami for not submitting the work order of the similar nature of work done. The bid of International Infrastructure is not considered for quoting unworkable rate as per the CS. The bidders S. A. Dowllah of Mr. Pranab Kumar Deka are not considered for not having similar nature of work done. Finally, the committee, out of remaining three bids, allots the work 'Renovation of Laboratory' to Mr. Habibur Shatterchaya, a local second class contractor with satisfactory work experience in the college itself earlier, who now offers to complete the work at a rate of 10% below of the estimated rate of the said work.

④ As far as the Group No. 3 (Construction of Cafeteria) is considered, the committee, depending on the comments made by the Engineer in the Comparative Statement, rejects on technical ground the bid of Md. Abdul Jabbar for his registration certificate is no more valid

since 31/03/2017 and rejects the bid of Mr. Apurba Goswami for not submitting the work order of the similar nature of work done. Finally, the committee, out of the remaining four bids, allots the said work (Construction of Cafeteria) to Mr. Mukul Saini, a local contractor with satisfactory work experience done in the college itself earlier, who now offers to complete the work at a rate of 10% below of the estimated rate of the said work.

⑤ Only one member of the committee, namely Dr. N.C. Sarma wants that all the three works of Group No. 1, 2 and 3 stated above should be allotted to International Infrastructure who offers to complete the works of Group No. 1 at the rate of 19.12% below, the Group No. 2 at a rate of 18.12% below and the Group No. 3 at a rate of 19.52% below of the estimated rate of the 2013-14 Schedule of Rate (APWD). However, the committee rejects the advice of Mr. Sharma on the ground that the rates proposed by International Infrastructure is unworkable as per Comparative statement made by the appointed Engineer for the job. So, the committee sustains the Resolutions taken above in the column no 1, 2, 3 & 4 as valid. ~~The same~~

⑥ The committee authorises the Principal to give work order as mentioned above in the column no. 2, 3 & 4 with necessary agreement from the concerned bidders. The Principal is to take necessary step for completion of the said works as early as possible. The Project Monitoring Committee will monitor the progress and quality of the said works.

⑦ It is also resolved that Mr. Manoj Ali, retired Executive Engineer, is to supervise the said works.

⑧ Dr. N. C. Sarna, a member of the RUSA committee, also states that a new Engineer should be appointed in place of Mr. Mohaj Ali for the supervision of the works cited above. However, the committee decides that Mr. Mohaj Ali, Mr. Executive Engineer, will continue as the technical member of the RUSA committee and will also supervise the works cited till a new Engineer is found ~~for~~ appointed for the same.

Atulya  
06.07.2018